



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/537,300	06/02/2005	Marc Joye	1032326-302	1466
21839 7590 12/16/2008 BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404				
EXAMINER				
CHAI, LONGBIT				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2431				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
12/16/2008		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com

Advisory

1. Applicant asserts that Drexler fails to teach "a cryptographic method during which an integer division of the type $q = a \div b$ and/or a modular reduction of the type $r = a \bmod b$ is performed, where q is a quotient, a is a number containing m bits, b is a number containing n bits, with n less than or equal to m and b_{n-1} is non-zero, b_{n-1} being the most significant bit of the number b " (Remarks: Page 3 / last Para). Examiner respectfully disagrees because (a) the claim language " $q = a \div b$ and/or a modular reduction" is considered by Examiner as merely a singular selection of "a modular reduction" as set forth in this prior-art rejection (Drexler: Para [0004], Para [0007] and Para [0020]: a modular reduction used for a encryption / decryption process), which is sufficient to meet the claim language of "and / or", (b) $Y = M^d \bmod n$, as taught by Drexler (Para [0007]), is qualified as a modular reduction with Y as the result of modular reduction matching the claim language of (a type r); besides, n (as a modulus) is indeed less than or equal to M^d which provides the calculation process of encryption or data scrambling (Drexler: Para [0004], Para [0012] and Para [0020]) that is also qualified as a cryptographic method, as recited in the claim, and is performed in a semiconductor chip (Drexler: Para [0011]) which contains and manipulates the data in a unit of bits in the semiconductor chip having at least one data with a nonzero MSB-bit).
2. Applicant further asserts that Drexler fails to teach "masking the number a by a random number p before performing the integer division and/or the modular reduction" (Remarks: Page 5 / 5th Para). Examiner respectfully disagrees because Drexler teaches a random number r is first chosen for modular process ($M \bmod n$) by forming a product

of $(r * n)$ which is added to the message M , where n is the modulus, as taught by Drexler – this is also consistent with the disclosure of the specification of the instant application (SPEC: Page 10 Line 5: i.e., for modular process $(a \bmod b)$ in order to mask the number a , b times the random number p is added to the number a , i.e. a is replaced with $a + (b * p)$).

3. Furthermore, Applicant asserts that Drexler fails to teach "generating encrypted or decrypted data in accordance with the results of the division and/or modular reduction" (Remarks: Page 5 / 3rd Para). Examiner respectfully disagrees because Drexler teaches an encryption process with a result using $(\bmod n)$ modular reduction after completion of exponential process (Drexler: Para [0020] Line 1 –3 / Line 14 – 16 and Para [0005]). Thereby Drexler does teach "generating encrypted or decrypted data in accordance with the results of the division and/or modular reduction" and as such Applicant's arguments are respectfully traversed.